oracular relic in the neighbouring temple. If that were so, we should be almost driven to conclude that the bejewelled woman's arm found in the tomb of Osiris is the

arm of Isis.

In support of the conclusion that the myth and religion Suggested of Osiris grew up round the revered memory of a dead £etwee!i we may quote the words in which the historian of European Osiris morals describes the necessity under which the popular imagination labours of embodying Its cherished living persons. He is referring to the dawn of the age chivalry, when in the morning twilight the heroic figure Charlemagne rose like a bright star above the political horizon, to be thenceforth encircled by a halo of

romance like the nimbus that shone round the head of Osiris.

order that the tendencies I have described should acquire

their full force, it was necessary that they should be repre-

sented or illustrated in some great personage, who, by the

splendour and the beauty of his career, could fascinate the

imaginations of men. It Is much easier to govern great

masses of men through their imagination than through their

reason. Moral principles rarely act powerfully upon the

world, except by way of example or ideals. When the

course of events has been to glorify the ascetic or mon-

archical or military spirit, a great saint, or sovereign, or

soldier will arise, who will concentrate in one dazzling focus

the blind tendencies of his time, kindle the enthusiasm and

fascinate the imagination of the people. But for the pre-

vailing tendency, the great man would not have arisen,

or would not have exercised his great influence. But for

the

great man, whose career appealed vividly to the imagina-

tion, the prevailing tendency would never have acquired $$\operatorname{its}$$ full intensity." I

Whether the parallel thus suggested between Charle- The

magne, the mediaeval ideal of a Christian knight, and Osiris, $\verb"^o"$

the ancient Egyptian ideal of a just and beneficent monarch, historical

holds good or not, it is now impossible to determine. For Osiris' $^{\circ}$

while Charlemagne stands near enough to allow us clearly left open.

to discern his historical reality, Osiris is so remote that we

can no longer discriminate with any certitude between the

 1 W. E. H. Lecley, *History of Charlemagne*, Third Edition (London, European Morals from Augustus to 1877), ii. 271.